

Western and Southern Area Planning Committee – Update Sheet
(meeting of 8 July 2021)

Application Ref.	Address	Agenda Ref.	Page No.
WD/D/19/002903	Osmington Mills Holidays, Osmington Mills, Weymouth, DT3 6HB	4a	7 - 19

The report refers to the provision of an additional unit which was not included in the original description of development. For clarity, during the application process, the applicant indicated they would like an extra holiday caravan (lodge) on the site. The existing 1987 permission did not specify caravan numbers. In my judgement an increase from 68 to 69 is not a material change of use from the 1987 permission in itself because of the very small change in impacts that would occur. As a result the request for an additional caravan is included in the scheme, and a condition is recommended to limit the total number to 69.

WP/19/00778/FUL	Land East of Mercery Road, Weymouth	4d	33-88
------------------------	--	-----------	--------------

Additional responses received:

South Western Ambulance Service:

Concerns regarding the impact that this development may have on operational activities, specifically due to the increased traffic that this development will attract in very close proximity to Weymouth Ambulance Station.

Proposed entrance to development is directly opposite the access and egress for Ambulance Station

Unless traffic management solutions are put in place this will hinder ability to respond effectively

Souter Way will require double yellow lines on both sides of the carriageway, as well as double yellow marks on the kerb on both sides of the carriageway to prohibit loading at any time

Installation of yellow box junction markings at the junction of Souter Way, Chandler Close and the proposed entrance/exit to development to prevent vehicles from stopping, waiting or parking

Another solution would be the installation of electronic LED warning signs at key positions to warn traffic that emergency vehicles may be exiting the ambulance station in the vicinity

Third Party:

Object to the plethora of large advertising signs proposed for the three main retail units (2, 3 & 4)

There is no need for three or four 6m long fascia boards for each unit.

Amendments to conditions:

Additional wording (shown in italics) to be added to condition 31 so it would now read as follows:

31. There shall be no development above the damp proof course level of unit 4 until units 2 and 3 have been completed.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt *and in order to ensure the development has regard to the other planning conditions and their triggers.*

Additional condition added:

32. No solar PV panels shall be erected on the buildings hereby approved without details of the solar PV panels including locations and layout of the panels having first been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development must be carried out in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: In the interest of visual amenity.